## Regression Analysis

## Introduction

- Consider a set of $n$ measurements of some variable $y$ as a function of another variable $x$.
- Typically, $y$ is some measured output as a function of some known input, $x$. Recall that the linear correlation coefficient is used to determine if there is a trend.
- If there is a trend, regression analysis is useful. Regression analysis is used to find an equation for $y$ as a function of $x$ that provides the best fit to the data.


## Linear regression analysis

- Linear regression analysis is also called linear least-squares fit analysis.
- The goal of linear regression analysis is to find the "best fit" straight line through a set of $y$ vs. $x$ data.
- The technique for deriving equations for this best-fit or least-squares fit line is as follows:
o An equation for a straight line that attempts to fit the data pairs is chosen as $Y=a x+b$.
o In the above equation, $a$ is the slope ( $a=d y / d x$ - most of us are more familiar with the symbol $m$ rather than $a$ for the slope of a line), and $b$ is the $y$-intercept - the $y$ location where the line crosses the $y$ axis (in other words, the value of $Y$ at $x=0$ ).
o An upper case $Y$ is used for the fitted line to distinguish the fitted data from the actual data values, $y$.
o In linear regression analysis, coefficients $a$ and $b$ are optimized for the best possible fit to the data.
o The optimization process itself is actually very straightforward:
o For each data pair ( $x_{i}, y_{i}$ ), error $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is defined as the difference between the predicted or fitted value and the actual value: $e_{i}=$ error at data pair $i$, or $e_{i}=Y_{i}-y_{i}=a x_{i}+b-y_{i} . e_{i}$ is also called the residual. Note: Here, what we call the actual value does not necessarily mean the "correct" value, but rather the value of the actual measured data point.
o We define $\boldsymbol{E}$ as the sum of the squared errors of the fit - a global measure of the error associated with all $n$ data points. The equation for $E$ is $E=\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} e_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}\left(a x_{i}+b-y_{i}\right)^{2}$.
o It is now assumed that the best fit is the one for which E is the smallest.
o In other words, coefficients $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ that minimize $\boldsymbol{E}$ need to be found. These coefficients are the ones that create the best-fit straight line $Y=a x+b$.
o How can $a$ and $b$ be found such that $E$ is minimized? Well, as any good engineer or mathematician knows, to find a minimum (or maximum) of a quantity, that quantity is differentiated, and the derivative is set to zero.
o Here, two partial derivatives are required, since $E$ is a function of two variables, $a$ and $b$. Therefore, we set $\frac{\partial E}{\partial a}=0$ and $\frac{\partial E}{\partial b}=0$.
o After some algebra, which can be verified, the following equations result for coefficients $a$ and $b$ :

$$
a=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i} y_{i}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} y_{i}\right)}{n \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i}\right)^{2}} \text { and } b=\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} y_{i}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i} y_{i}\right)}{n \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i}\right)^{2}} \text {. }
$$

- Coefficients $a$ and $b$ can easily be calculated in a spreadsheet by the following steps:
o Create columns for $x_{i}, y_{i}, x_{i} y_{i}$, and $x_{i}^{2}$.
o Sum these columns over all $n$ rows of data pairs.
o Using these sums, calculate $a$ and $b$ with the above formulas.
- Modern spreadsheets and programs like Matlab, MathCad, etc. have built-in regression analysis tools, but it is good to understand what the equations mean and from where they come. In the Excel spreadsheet that accompanies this learning module, coefficients $a$ and $b$ are calculated two ways for each example case - "by hand" using the above equations, and with the built-in regression analysis package. As can be seen, the agreement is excellent, confirming that we have not made any algebra mistakes in the derivation.


## - Example:

Given: 20 data pairs ( $y$ vs. $x$ ) - the same data used in a previous example problem in the learning module about correlation and trends. Recall that we calculated the linear correlation coefficient to be $r_{x y}=0.480$. The data pairs are listed below, along with a scatter plot of the data.

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | 4.800 |
| 0.1 | 10.729 |
| 0.2 | 3.600 |
| 0.3 | 0.500 |
| 0.4 | 3.600 |
| 0.5 | 4.400 |
| 0.6 | 8.900 |
| 0.7 | 3.000 |
| 0.8 | 4.300 |
| 0.9 | 9.500 |
| 1.0 | 5.800 |
| 1.1 | 10.000 |
| 1.2 | 2.400 |
| 1.3 | 9.000 |
| 1.4 | 12.100 |
| 1.5 | 13.800 |
| 1.6 | 14.100 |
| 1.7 | 8.500 |
| 1.8 | 4.000 |
| 1.9 | 10.200 |



To do: Find the best linear fit to the data.

## Solution:

o We use the above equations for coefficients $a$ and $b$ with $n=20$; we calculate $\boldsymbol{a}=3.241$, and $\boldsymbol{b}=4.082$, to four significant digits. Thus, the best linear fit to the data is $Y=3.241 x+4.082$.
o Alternately, using Excel's built-in regression analysis macro, the following output is generated:

- Office 2003 and older: Tools-Data Analysis-Regression
- Office 2007 and later: Data tab. In Analysis area, Data Analysis-Regression

| SUMMARY OUTPUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple R | 0.47999963 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R Square | 0.230399644 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.187644069 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 3.600806066 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 69.86964115 | 69.86964 | 5.388763 | 0.032202476 |  |
| Regression | 18 | 233.3844778 | 12.9658 |  |  |  |
| Residual | 19 | 303.254119 |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | t Stat | P-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% |
| Intercept | 4.082114286 | 1.551752264 | 2.630648 | 0.016968 | 0.822001231 | 7.342227341 |
| $X$ Variable 1 | 3.241406015 | 1.396332701 | 2.321371 | 0.032202 | 0.307817598 | 6.174994432 |

o In Excel's notation, the $y$-intercept $b$ is in the row called "Intercept" and the column called "Coefficients". The slope $a$ is in the row called "X Variable 1" and the same column ("Coefficients"). The values agree with those calculated from the equations above, verifying our algebra.
o Notice also the item called "Multiple R". In Excel, Multiple R is the absolute value of the linear correlation coefficient, $r_{x y}$. For these example data, $r_{x y}$ was calculated previously as 0.480 , which agrees with the result from Excel's regression analysis (to about 7 significant digits anyway).
o The best-fit line is plotted in the above figure as the solid blue line.
o The best-fit line (compared to any other line) has the smallest possible sum of the squared errors, $E$, since coefficients $a$ and $b$ were found by minimizing $E$ (forcing the derivatives of $E$ with respect to $a$ and $b$ to be equal to zero).
o The upward trend of the data appears more obvious by eye when the least-squares line is drawn through the data.
Discussion: Recall from the previous example problem that we could not judge by eye whether or not there is a trend in these data. In the previous problem we calculated the linear correlation coefficient and showed that we can be more than $95 \%$ confident that a trend exists in these data. In the present problem, we found the best-fit straight line that quantifies the trend in the data.

## Standard error

- A useful measure of error is called the standard error of estimate, $S_{y, x}$, which is sometimes called simply
standard error. For a linear fit, $S_{y, x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}\left(y_{i}-Y_{i}\right)^{2}}{n-2}}$ which reduces to $S_{y, x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} y_{i}^{2}-b \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} y_{i}-a \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_{i} y_{i}}{n-2}}$.
- $S_{y, x}$ is a measure of the data scatter about the best-fit line, and has the same units as $y$ itself.
- $S_{y, x}$ is a kind of "standard deviation" of the predicted least-squares fit values compared to the original data.
- $S_{y, x}$ for this problem turns out to be about 3.601 (in $y$ units), as verified both by calculation with the above formula and by Excel's regression analysis summary. (See Excel's Summary Output above - Standard Error = 3.600806.)


## Some cautions about using linear regression analysis

- Scatter in the y data is assumed to be purely random. The scatter is assumed to follow a normal or Gaussian distribution. This may not actually be the case. For example, a jump in $y$ at a certain $x$ value may be due to some real, repeatable effect, not just random noise.
- The $x$ values are assumed to be error-free. In reality, there may be errors in the measurement of $x$ as well as $y$. These are not accounted for in the simple regression analysis described above. (More advanced regression analysis techniques are available that can account for this.)
- The reverse equation is not guaranteed. In particular, the linear least-squares fit for $y$ versus $x$ was found, satisfying the equation $Y=a x+b$. The reverse of this equation is $x=(1 / a) Y-b / a$. This reverse equation is not necessarily the best fit of $x$ vs. $y$, if the linear regression analysis were done on $x$ vs. $y$ instead of $y$ vs. $x$.
- The fit is strongly affected by erroneous data points. If there are some data points that are far out of line with the majority (outliers), the least-squares fit may not yield the desired result. The following example illustrates this effect:

o With all the data points used, the three stray data points (outliers) have ruined the rest of the fit (solid blue line). For this case, $r_{x y}=0.5745$ and $S_{y, x}=4.787$.
o If these three outliers are removed, the least-squares fit follows the overall trend of the other data points much more accurately (dashed green line). For this case, $r_{x y}=0.9956$ and $S_{y, x}=0.5385$. The linear correlation coefficient is significantly higher (better correlation), and the standard error is significantly lower (better fit).
o In a separate learning module we discuss techniques for properly removing outliers.
o To protect against such undesired effects, more complex least-squares methods, such as the robust straight-line fit, are required. Discussion of these methods are beyond the scope of the present course.


## Linear regression with multiple variables

- Linear regression with multiple variables is a feature included with most modern spreadsheets.
- Consider response, $y$, which is a function of $m$ independent variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}$, i.e., $y=y\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$.
- Suppose $y$ is measured at $n$ operating points ( $n$ sets of values of $y$ as a function of each of the other variables).
- To perform a linear regression on these data using Excel, select the cells for $y$ (in one column as previously), and a range of cells for $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}$ (in multiple columns), and then run the built-in regression analysis.
- When there is more than one independent variable, we use a more general equation for the standard error,
$S_{y, x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}\left(y_{i}-Y_{i}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{df}}}$, where $\mathrm{df}=$ degrees of freedom, $\mathrm{df}=n-(m+1), n$ is the number of data points or
operating points, and $m$ is the number of independent variables.
- Example:

Given: In this example, we perform linear regression analysis with multiple variables.
o We assume that the measured quantity $y$ is a linear function of three independent variables, $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$, i.e., $y=b+a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+a_{3} x_{3}$.
o Nine data points are measured by setting three levels for each parameter, and the data are placed into a simple data array as shown to the right (the image is taken from an Excel spreadsheet).
To do: Calculate the $y$ intercept and the three slopes simultaneously, one slope for each independent variable $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$.

## Solution:

o We perform a linear regression on these data points to

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.472 |
| 0 | -1 | 0 | 7.253 |
| 0 | 1 | -1 | 14.708 |
| -1 | 0 | -1 | 9.861 |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | 4.374 |
| -1 | 1 | 1 | 10.339 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 12.082 |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | 10.678 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 14.519 | determine the best (least-squares) linear fit to the data.

o In Excel, the multiple variable regression analysis procedure is similar to that for a single independent variable, except that we choose several columns of $x$ data instead of just one column:

- Launch the macro (Data Analysis-Regression). The default options are fine for illustrative purposes.
- The nine values of $y$ in the $y$-column are selected for Input $\underline{Y}$ range.
- All 27 values of $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$, spanning nine rows and three columns, are selected for Input $\underline{X}$ range.
- Output Range is selected, and some suitable cell is selected for placement of the output. OK.
- Excel generates what it calls a Summary Output.
o From Excel's output, the following information is needed to generate the coefficients of the equation for which we are finding the best fit, $y=b+a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+a_{3} x_{3}$ :
- The $\boldsymbol{y}$-intercept, which Excel calls Intercept. For our equation, $b=$ Intercept.
- The three slopes, which Excel calls $\boldsymbol{X}$ Variable 1, $\boldsymbol{X}$ Variable 2, and $\boldsymbol{X}$ Variable 3. For our equation, $a_{1}=\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{1}}=\mathrm{X}$ Variable 1, $a_{2}=\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{2}}=\mathrm{X}$ Variable 2, $a_{3}=\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{3}}=\mathrm{X}$ Variable 3, which are the slopes of $y$ with respect to parameters $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$, respectively.
o Note that we use partial derivatives ( $\partial$ ) rather than total derivatives ( $d$ ) here, since $y$ is a function of more than one variable.
o A portion of the regression analysis results are shown below (image copied from Excel), with the most important cells highlighted:

| Regression Statistics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiple R | 0.998606237 |  |  |  |
| R Square | 0.997214417 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.995543068 |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 0.217477187 |  |  |  |
| Observations | 9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |
|  | df | SS | MS | $F$ |
| Regression | 3 | 84.65837392 | 28.219458 | 596.652211 |
| Residual | 5 | 0.236481634 | 0.04729633 |  |
| Total | 8 | 84.89485556 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | $t$ Stat | $P$-value |
| Intercept | 10.47622222 | 0.072492396 | 144.514775 | $3.0097 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| X Variable 1 | 1.918254902 | 0.090080885 | 21.2948052 | $4.2338 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| X Variable 2 | 3.076078431 | 0.090080885 | 34.1479596 | $4.0504 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| X Variable 3 | -1.19547059 | 0.091358692 | -13.085461 | $4.6512 \mathrm{E}-05$ |

Discussion: The fit is pretty good, implying that there is little scatter in the data, and the data fit well with the simple linear equation. We know this is a good fit by looking at the linear correlation coefficient (Multiple R), which is greater than 0.99 , and the Standard Error, which is only 0.21 for y values ranging from about 4 to about 15 . We can claim a successful curve fit.

## Comments:

o In addition to random scatter in the data, there may also be cross-talk between some of the parameters. For example, $y$ may have terms with products like $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{2}$, etc., which are clearly nonlinear terms. Nevertheless, a multiple parameter linear regression analysis is often performed only locally, around the operating point, and the linear assumption is reasonably accurate, at least close to the operating point.
o In addition, variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$ may not be totally independent of each other in a real experiment.
o Regression analysis with multiple variables becomes quite useful to us later in the course when we discuss optimization techniques such as response surface methodology.

## Nonlinear and higher-order polynomial regression analysis

- Not all data are linear, and a straight line fit may not be appropriate. A good example is thermocouple voltage versus temperature. The relationship is nearly linear, but not quite; that is in fact the very reason for the necessity of thermocouple tables.
- For nonlinear data, some transformation tricks can be employed, using logarithms or other functions.
- For some data, a good curve fit can be obtained using a polynomial fit of some appropriate order. The order of a polynomial is defined by $m$, the maximum exponent in the $x$ data:
o zeroth-order $(m=0)$ is just a constant: $y=b$.
o first-order $(m=1)$ is a constant plus a linear term: $y=b+a_{1} x$. (A first-order polynomial fit is the same as a linear least-squares fit, as we have already learned how to do.)
o second-order $(m=2)$ is a constant plus a linear term plus a quadratic term: $y=b+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}$. (A second-order polynomial fit is often called a quadratic fit.)
o third-order $(m=3)$ adds a cubic term: $y=b+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}$. (A third-order polynomial fit is often called a cubic fit.)
o $\quad \boldsymbol{m}^{\text {th }}$-order $(m>0)$ adds terms following this pattern up to $a_{m} x^{m}: y=b+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}+\ldots+a_{m} x^{m}$.
- Excel can be manipulated to perform least-squares polynomial fits of any order $m$, since Excel can perform regression analysis on more than one independent variable simultaneously. The procedure is as follows:
o To the right of the $x$ column, add new columns for $x^{2}, x^{3}, \ldots x^{m}$.
o Perform a multiple variable regression analysis as previously, except choose all the data cells ( $x, x^{2}, x^{3}, \ldots$ $x^{m}$ ) as the "Input X Range" in the Regression working window.
o Note that $m$ is the order of the polynomial, which is also treated as the number of independent variables to be fit. Excel treats each of the $m$ columns as a separate variable. The output of the regression analysis includes the $y$-intercept as previously (equal to our constant $b$ ), and also a least-squares coefficient for each of the columns, i.e., for each of the variables $x, x^{2}, x^{3}, \ldots x^{m}$ :
- The coefficient for "X Variable 1 " is $a_{1}$, corresponding to the $x$ variable.
- The coefficient for "X Variable 2" is $a_{2}$, corresponding to the $x^{2}$ variable.
- The coefficient for "X Variable 3 " is $a_{3}$, corresponding to the $x^{3}$ variable.
- ...
- The coefficient for "X Variable $m$ " is $a_{m}$, corresponding to the $x^{m}$ variable.
o Finally, the fitted curve is constructed from the equation, i.e., $y=b+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}+\ldots+a_{m} x^{m}$.


## - Example:

Given: $x$ and $y$ data pairs, as shown:

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1.30 |
| 0.1 | 1.55 |
| 0.2 | 1.70 |
| 0.3 | 1.95 |
| 0.4 | 2.02 |
| 0.5 | 2.11 |
| 0.6 | 2.28 |
| 0.7 | 2.31 |
| 0.8 | 2.35 |
| 0.9 | 2.36 |
| 1 | 2.32 |



To do: Plot the data as symbols (no line), perform a linear least-squares fit, and plot the data as a dashed line (no symbols), and perform a second-order polynomial least-squares fit, and plot the data as a solid line (no symbols).

## Solution:

o We plot the data as symbols, as shown on the above plot.
o We perform a standard linear regression analysis, and then generate the best-fit line by using the equation for the best-fit straight line, $Y=a x+b$. For these data, $a=1.025$ and $b=1.510$. The result is plotted as the dashed black line in the figure - the agreement is not so good. The standard error is 0.1359.
o We add a column labeled $x^{2}$ between the $x$ and $y$ columns, and fill it in.
o We perform a multiple variable regression analysis, using the $x$ and $x^{2}$ columns as our range of independent variables. We generate the best-fit quadratic ( $2^{\text {nd }}$-order) polynomial curve by using the equation $y=b+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}$. For these data, $b=1.307, a_{1}=2.382$, and $a_{2}=-1.358$. The solid red line is plotted above for this equation - the agreement is much better. The standard error is 0.0316 .
Discussion: These data fit much better to a second-order polynomial than to a linear fit. We see this both "by eye", and also by comparing the standard error, which decreases by a factor of more than four when we apply the quadratic (second-order) curve fit instead of the linear curve fit.

